

Minutes

City Council/Public Financing Authority and Special Meetings of the Successor Agency and Housing Authority City of Huntington Beach

Monday, March 7, 2016
4 00 PM - Council Chambers
6 00 PM - Council Chambers
Civic Center, 2000 Main Street
Huntington Beach, California 92648

**A video recording of these meetings
is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, and archived at
www.surfcity-hb.org/government/agendas/**

4:00 PM - COUNCIL CHAMBERS

CALLED TO ORDER — 4:05 PM

ROLL CALL

Present Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
Absent None

ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATIONS (Received After Agenda Distribution)
Pursuant to the Brown "Open Meetings" Act, City Clerk Joan L. Flynn announced supplemental communication that was received by her office following distribution of the Council agenda packet

For Study Session #1 Police Chief Robert Handy provided a PowerPoint presentation dated March 7, 2016, entitled *Body Worn Cameras*

PUBLIC COMMENTS PERTAINING TO STUDY SESSION / CLOSED SESSION ITEMS (3 Minute Time Limit)

The number [hh mm ss] following the speakers' comments indicates their approximate starting time in the archived video located at <http://www.surfcity-hb.org/government/agendas>

Dave Humphreys, Huntington Beach Police Officers' Association (HBPOA) spoke stating while they had no issues with BWC per se, their officers felt the funds for the cameras could be utilized more effectively for other Police Department needs

Yasha Nikitin, Huntington Beach Police Officers' Association (HBPOA) also referenced the internal POA survey regarding BWC and gave statistics on additional time needed. The expense of managing the tapes for discovery and other pressing needs the Department has which the grant funds could address

Dennis Hashin spoke about his perception of reasons for the recent voluntary and extended leaves resulting in staff reductions in the Huntington Beach Police Department and the 911 Communication Center (00 00 26)

STUDY SESSION

1. The Police Department presented the results of a body worn camera evaluation conducted late last year and provided information on current technology options in policing.

Police Chief Robert Handy provided a PowerPoint presentation dated March 7, 2016, entitled *Body Worn Cameras* that included the following titled slides *Why Invest in Body Cameras?*, *Challenges*, *Body Worn Cameras*, *Evaluation and Trial Period*, *Vendors*, *BWCs help tell the story*, *Data collected during trial period*, *HBPD Officer Feedback*, *Ease of Use*, *On and Off*, *Equipment Failures*, *Officers' Time*, *Managing Data*, *Additional Staffing*, *Five year contract estimate*, *Funding for Project*, *Next Steps* and *Questions*

Questions/Comments

Councilmember Peterson and Chief Handy discussed in-house versus off-site data storage, redundant storage systems, and options for keeping costs down

Councilmember Posey and Chief Handy discussed establishing costs to estimate a financial benefit when incidents are de-escalated Chief Handy stated that national data shows there is usually a substantial reduction in use of force and in complaints with the use of body cameras, and he would expect a reduction in such incidents in Huntington Beach He continued with discussion of scenarios that would support incidents where the City lost in court due to the absence of a body camera Discussion ensued on the operator being able to switch their camera on and off

Councilmember Delgleize and Chief Handy discussed 150 active cameras, and whether or not they would be on full time, and whether the City's network capabilities affect performance when searching recorded data When asked if one Community Service Officer is adequate for serving approximately 150 cameras, Chief Handy responded Yes Officers who participated in the study expressed their greatest concern was writing their reports as some of the officers reviewed the full tape before writing their report Discussion continued on whether cameras would be required for all officers on assignment, whether some report writing may cause overtime, and different options that are available to manage the program

Councilmember Hardy and Chief Handy discussed if the grants available for body worn cameras are currently not being used for something else Chief Handy stated if the funds are not used for cameras, the monies could be (and have been in the past) used for other projects such as upgrading existing camera systems Councilmember Hardy voiced concerns about the lack of evidence of actual money savings and she would like to see more proof of tangible returns before she could support spending funds on this program

Councilmember O'Connell and Chief Handy discussed the total number of videos, how many included criminal activity, and how many were used in court Chief Handy explained that during the course of the 90-day trial period, there was one request for discovery and a couple of use-of-force incidents Councilmember O'Connell voiced concerns about costs, what the annual estimates include, how secure the data would be if stored off site, and how the program would be funded after the initial five years Chief Handy confirmed that the 16 officers in the trial period were volunteers Councilmember O'Connell reported that according to an HBPOA survey, 79% do not support the program, and over 90% feel grant funds should be spent on other things Chief Handy replied he had asked to see the survey but it had not been shared with him, nor the questions asked He continued by sharing that his

philosophy is to multi-task improvements and changes. He doesn't just focus on one project in a linear fashion, but tries to improve in multiple areas to prevent falling too far behind in any one area. Chief Handy confirmed that nationally, approximately two-thirds of the police departments have dash cameras and one-third has body cameras and Huntington Beach has neither. Councilmember O'Connell stated that at the present time he feels that body cameras are good, but there are other ways that money should be currently spent.

Councilmember Delgleize and Chief Handy discussed the current network quality which would most likely need an upgrade to implement the program, and the network could be upgraded whether or not the body camera program is implemented.

Councilmember Peterson, using Anaheim as an example, compared the Federal crime index figure for Huntington Beach, which averages 180, while Anaheim's average is 270, and the average for the United States is 294. He further stated that in his opinion body cameras would be most helpful during the tourist season. He believes there will be direct financial benefits if only one major event is de-escalated each year from using body cameras, and he supports the program.

Councilmember O'Connell stated that in his opinion there are greater needs at the present time, such as radios and MBCs that work. The new technology can be added in the future after more of the kinks have been worked out.

Mayor Katapodis and Chief Handy discussed the parameters of Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund (SLESF) grants, as well as other items which fit the parameters that need current attention. Mayor Katapodis requested a copy of the grant to review the parameters. Chief Handy shared that grant funds can't be used for overtime or to purchase police cars or to upgrade existing computer systems, as the funds are essentially earmarked for technology. The JAG grant provides funds which can be used for a greater variety of things. Mayor Katapodis asked for statistics on unresolved complaints, and Chief Handy responded that there were 39 personnel investigations last year, but off the top of his head he could not confirm how many of those are unresolved. Mayor Katapodis requested to see that report also. Mayor Katapodis stated he personally thinks it is a bit premature to buy body cameras, and the focus should be on other, more vital needs in the Police Department.

Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan stated that in order to make an informed and responsible decision on this matter, the Council needs to see about 20 years of court decisions against the City, adjusted for inflation, to determine what amount of money could have been saved if camera data was available.

Councilmember O'Connell agreed with Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan's request, and also asked that the cost to have body cameras for twenty years also be provided.

City Manager Wilson summarized the discussion by stating that Chief Handy will get answers to the questions asked by Council and make another presentation before Council makes their decision on this matter.

RECESSED TO CLOSED SESSION — 5:19 PM

Mayor Katapodis Announced: Pursuant to Government Code § 54957.6, the City Council takes this opportunity to publicly introduce and identify designated labor negotiator, City Manager Fred Wilson, who will be participating in today's Closed Session discussions regarding labor negotiations with Fire

Management Association (FMA), Huntington Beach Firefighters' Association (HBFA), Huntington Beach Municipal Employees' Association (MEA), Management Employees' Organization (MEO), Huntington Beach Police Officers' Association (POA), Police Management Association (PMA), Marine Safety Management Association (MSMA), and/or Surf City Lifeguard Employees' Association (SCLEA)

A motion was made by O'Connell, second Posey to recess to Closed Session on Items 2-7

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

CLOSED SESSION

- 2. Pursuant to Government Code § 54956.9(d)(1), the City Council recessed into Closed Session to confer with the City Attorney regarding the following lawsuit: Ocean View School District v. City of Huntington Beach, et al., Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2012-00539563, Court of Appeal Case No. G049545, which concerns a CEQA challenge to the City's final EIR on a mixed use project on the southwest corner of Beach Boulevard/Warner Avenue.**
- 3. Pursuant to Government Code § 54956.9(d)(1), the City Council recessed into Closed Session to confer with the City Attorney regarding the following lawsuit: Timothy Masten v. City of Huntington Beach, et al., Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2014-00761624, which concerns a slip and fall in some wet grass next to the sidewalk at 16761 Baruna Lane in Huntington Beach.**
- 4. Pursuant to Government Code § 54956.9(d)(1), the City Council recessed into Closed Session to confer with the City Attorney regarding the following lawsuit: The Kennedy Commission, et al. v. City of Huntington Beach, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00801675, which concerns a challenge to the City Council action amending the Beach and Edinger Corridors Specific Plan.**
- 5. Pursuant to Government Code § 54956.9(d)(1) the City Council recessed into Closed Session to confer with the City Attorney regarding the following lawsuit: Houalla Enterprises, LTD, dba Metro Builders & Engineers Group, LTD. vs. City of Huntington Beach, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2015-00764266-CU-BC-CJC.**
- 6. Pursuant to Government Code § 54956.9(d)(2) the City Council recessed into Closed Session to confer with the City Attorney regarding potential litigation. Number of cases, one (1).**
- 7. Pursuant to Government Code § 54957.6, the City Council recessed into Closed Session to meet with its designated labor negotiators and Fred Wilson, City Manager regarding the following: Fire Management Association (FMA), Huntington Beach Firefighters' Association (HBFA), Huntington Beach Municipal Employees' Association (MEA), Management Employees' Organization (MEO), Huntington Beach Police Officers' Association (POA), Police Management Association (PMA), Marine Safety Management Association (MSMA), and/or Surf City Lifeguard Employees' Association (SCLEA).**

6:00 PM – COUNCIL CHAMBERS

RECONVENED CITY COUNCIL/PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY MEETING AND CALLED TO ORDER THE SPECIAL MEETINGS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH AND THE HUNTINGTON BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY — 6:18 PM

CLOSED SESSION REPORT BY CITY ATTORNEY — None

ROLL CALL

Present Posey, O’Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
Absent None

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE — Led by Councilmember Hardy

INVOCATION - Led by Father Christian Mondor of Saints Simon and Jude Catholic Church and the Greater Huntington Beach Interfaith Council

In permitting a nonsectarian invocation, the City does not intend to proselytize or advance any faith or belief. Neither the City nor the City Council endorses any particular religious belief or form of invocation.

AWARDS AND PRESENTATIONS

Mayor Katapodis proclaimed California Arbor Week March 7-14, 2016, and presented a proclamation to acknowledge and thank Jean Nagy, president of the Huntington Beach Tree Society. Ms. Nagy announced that the all volunteer Huntington Beach Tree Society was sponsoring two events in recognition of Arbor Week: one event at Helm Park will include the planting of Jacaranda trees, and the Arbor Day Walk which starts at Kathy May’s Restaurant in Central Park West. She also thanked the many, many community volunteers who donate funds and time to make a real difference in Huntington Beach.

Mayor Katapodis called on representatives of Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center who thanked Council for their support of the Senior Center in Central Park, and requested their adoption of the MOU between the City of Huntington Beach and OC Memorial, which includes a five year commitment to fund a portion of outreach services to the frail and elderly, including the support and services of the Council on Aging.

Mayor Katapodis called on representatives of Edinger Medical Group who thanked Council for their support of the Senior Center in Central Park, and requested their adoption of the MOU between the City of Huntington Beach and Edinger Medical Group for supporting programs and services offered to meet the needs of the community.

Mayor Katapodis called on City Manager Fred Wilson who introduced David Segura, the City’s newly appointed Fire Chief. Chief Segura, a resident of Huntington Beach, comes to Huntington Beach Fire Department after 29 years of Fire Department service in Long Beach.

A motion was made by Posey, second O’Connell to hear Public Hearing Item No. 19 before 18.

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATIONS (Received After Agenda Distribution)

Pursuant to the Brown "Open Meetings" Act, City Clerk Joan Flynn announced supplemental communications that were received by her office following distribution of the Council agenda packet

Public Hearing Item No 18 , a PowerPoint communication received from Kellee Fritzal, Deputy Director of Business Development, entitled *Community Facilities District 2000-01 Grand Coast Resort*

Public Hearing Item No 19 , 138 communications submitted

PUBLIC COMMENTS (3 Minute Time Limit) — 10 Speakers

The number [hh mm ss] following the speakers' comments indicates their approximate starting time in the archived video located at [http //www.surfcity-hb.org/government/agendas](http://www.surfcity-hb.org/government/agendas)

Prior to the City Clerk's identification of names of individuals signed up to speak under Public Comments, Mayor Katapodis read the following statement

"Any public comments that are discriminatory, defamatory or otherwise not protected speech, whether as to race, religion, disability, sexual orientation, or any other protected classification, will not be considered by the City Council and may be a basis for the Mayor to interrupt the public speaker. In addition, any such public comments will not be consented to or otherwise adopted by the City Council in its considerations, deliberations, discussions, and findings regarding any matter before it tonight. The City Council provides this public opportunity to speak for the purpose of public participation, which naturally involves free speech, but the City Council categorically rejects comments from anyone, including members of the public, that are discriminatory in nature. Such comments will not inform the City Council's decisions tonight."

Clem Dominguez spoke in opposition to certain locations identified as options for affordable housing that will be discussed during Public Hearing Item No 19 (01 26 18)

Karen Chepica, Save Our Strays, addressed concerns related to the County's plans for a new animal shelter, and expressed her belief that there is huge support for a local no-kill animal shelter that supports Huntington Beach. She asked that the Council investigate other options (01 29 41)

Philip Johnson requested that the City adopt a 4-H project involving a small flock of sheep in Huntington Central Park near the Senior Center (01 32 37)

Eva Weisz voiced concerns about vulgar language and actions displayed by contestants competing in a talent contest, supposedly a family event, held on Tuesday nights downtown titled "HB's Got Talent" which is sponsored by the Business Improvement District (BID). She also shared her own experience of being a contestant, choosing to sing a classic song, and was told the contestants should perform contemporary music. Council recommended that she fill out a blue card for follow up (01 33 33)

Ralph Bauer spoke in support of Public Hearing Item No 19 - State Housing Element Law and his belief that City staff, Councilmembers and citizens working together will provide appropriate solutions (01 37 10)

Maureen voiced her concerns about street sweeping parking control (01 40 18)

Brenda Calvillo, Humanity for Animals, spoke in favor of the City managing its own animal shelter (01 42 19)

George Garrett spoke to memorialize the birthday of a former USC football player, Ambrose Schindler, who is turning 99 (01 43 11)

Edgar Reyes, Oak View Comunidad, with the help of interpreter Victor Valledares, voiced concerns about a notice of violation received for his catering truck business Council recommended that Mr Reyes fill out a blue card for follow up (01 44 21)

John Briscoe campaigned for Central Committee, voiced his concerns about Council meeting format, parliamentary procedure, the City losing lawsuits, and the City's actions related to Republic Environmental (01 48 04)

COUNCIL COMMITTEE - APPOINTMENTS - LIAISON REPORTS AND ALL AB 1234 DISCLOSURE REPORTING

Councilmember Hardy reported meeting with the Huntington Beach Police Officer Association (HBPOA)

Councilmember O'Connell reported meeting with the Huntington Beach Police Officer Association (HBPOA), attended the OCP Annual Dinner, the Commission to End Homelessness Commission meeting, the Housing Community Development Commission meeting, and the Huntington Beach Chamber Annual Gala event

Councilmember Peterson attended a Southern California Association of Government (SCAG) meeting and discussed the key elements of the Regional Transportation sustainable transportation plan

Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan reported that he attended three meetings of the OC Senior Citizens Advisory Council (General, Executive and Health & Nutrition)

Councilmember Posey reported attending the UCI Public Policymaking Academy, and the Association of California Cities - Orange County (ACC-OC) Orange County Business Council (OCBC) One Voice Two Capitals visit to Sacramento

Councilmember Delgleize reported attending the Air Quality Management District (AQMD) governing board meeting as well as the AQMD tour of the Orange County Emergency Operating Center

Mayor Katapodis reported attending the Air Quality Management District (AQMD) governing board meeting, the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) Finance Committee meeting, the Huntington Beach Police Department Awards Ceremony, and the Huntington Beach Fire Department Awards Ceremony, as well as meeting with the Huntington Beach Police Officer Association (HBPOA)

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT - None.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Councilmember Peterson asked to be recorded as a "NO" vote on Consent Calendar Item No 17 along with Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan

Mayor Katapodis pulled Consent Calendar Item Nos 10 and 11

1. Approved and adopted minutes

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to review and adopt the City Council/Public Financing Authority regular meeting minutes dated February 16, 2016, and the City Council special meeting minutes dated February 22, 2016, as written and on file in the office of the City Clerk

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

2. Approved Fiscal Year 2014/15 Year End Budget Adjustments and Preliminary Unaudited General Fund Balance Designations

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve the assignment of preliminary FY 2014/15 year-end General Fund Balances of a net additional \$2.9 million in the amounts and for the purposes indicated in Attachment 1, approve year-end budget adjustments and transfers for the Fiscal Year 2014/15 Revised Budget in the Funds and by the amounts contained in Attachment 2, authorize additional Professional Services authority in the Fiscal Year 2014/15 Revised Budget in the departments and by the amounts contained in Attachment 3, and, increase appropriations in the current year FY 2015/16 Adopted Budget by \$1.5 million in the General Fund Non-Departmental Budget to pay the City's share of County Animal Control facility construction costs

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

3. Approved and authorized the City Manager to execute Commercial Facade Rehabilitation Program Agreements for FY 2015/2016 to incentivize eligible commercial property owners to revitalize their property

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, the authority to execute approved Commercial Facade Rehabilitation Grant and Loan Agreements

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

4. Approved Final Tract Map No. 17662 for the Brightwater and Sandover Subdivisions by Signal Landmark located south of Los Patos Avenue and west of Bolsa Chica Street

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve Final Tract Map No 17662 and accept the offer of easements pursuant to findings and requirements

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

5 Approved Sole Source purchase with Industrial Design Research for two replacement Lifeguard Towers in the amount of \$78,185

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve Sole Source purchase from Industrial Design Research for two lifeguard towers

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

6. Authorized the Director of Public Works to request a 24-month delay from the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) of the deadline to award a construction contract for the Atlanta Avenue Widening Project

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to authorize the Director of Public Works to request a 24-month delay from the Orange County Transportation Authority of the June 30, 2016, deadline to award a construction contract for the Atlanta Avenue Widening Project

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

7. Adopted Resolution No. 2016-10 adopting the Measure M2 Expenditure Report to comply with Renewed Measure M2 funding eligibility for the City of Huntington Beach

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to adopt Resolution No 2016-10, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach Adopting the Measure M2 Expenditure Report for the City of Huntington Beach "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

8. Adopted Resolution No. 2016-11 adopting a revised Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for the years 2015/2016 through 2021/2022 for compliance with renewed Measure M Eligibility Requirements

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to adopt Resolution No 2016-11, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach Adopting a Revised Capital Improvement Program for the Years 2015/2016 Through 2012/2022" for compliance with renewed Measure M eligibility requirements to amend the existing CIP for years 2015/16 Through 2021/2022 adding additional projects in compliance with M2 Eligibility Requirements

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

9. Adopted Resolution No. 2016-12 declaring Weeds and Rubbish a nuisance on specific properties and fixing May 2, 2016 at 6:00pm for hearing protests and objections to the Abatement thereof

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to adopt Resolution No 2016-12, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach Finding and Declaring That Certain Weeds Growing in the City and Rubbish and Refuse Deposited on Public Ways and Private Property are a Public Nuisance, and Fixing the Time for Hearing Protests and Objections to the Abatement Thereof "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

10. Continued to the next meeting for approval and execution of a Professional Services Contract for As-Needed Planning Services with Lilley Planning Group, Inc. in the amount of \$535,000 for a three-year term; and, approve the increase in the Community Development Department's Professional Services Listing authority by \$535,000

Mayor Katapodis pulled this item to request more information, including details on other bidders for this contract, from staff in a couple of weeks

A motion was made by Katapodis, second Delgleize to continue the item to request more information from staff, including details on other bidders for this contract, at the next meeting on March 21, 2016

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

11. Continued to the next meeting for approval and execution of Professional Services Contracts for Fire Prevention Inspection and Plan Review Services with Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. in the amount of \$720,000 and with Jensen Hughes, Inc. in the

amount of \$180,000; and, approve additional appropriation in the amount of \$325,000 for As-Needed General Environmental Engineering and Plan Check /Fire Inspection Services

Mayor Katapodis pulled this item to request more information, including details on other bidders for this contract, from staff in a couple of weeks

A motion was made by Katapodis, second Delgleize to continue the item to request more information, including details on other bidders for this contract, from staff at the next meeting on March 21, 2016

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

12. Approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City of Huntington Beach and Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center; and, authorized the receipt and appropriation of \$125,000 to provide programming at the Senior Center in Central Park

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the "Memorandum of Understanding Between the City of Huntington Beach and Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center," including a \$125,000 00 contribution for care management programs and services, and authorize the Mayor, City Clerk, and City Manager to execute any and all documents related to this transaction, and, authorize the receipt and appropriation of \$125,000, to be paid annually in the amount of \$25,000 from Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center over five (5) consecutive years into Donations Fund 103, business unit 10345508 as approved by the Director of Community Services

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

13. Approved and authorized execution of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City of Huntington Beach and Edinger Medical Group to memorialize naming opportunities for the new Senior Center in Central Park; and, authorize receipt and appropriation of funds

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve the "Memorandum of Understanding Between the City of Huntington Beach and Edinger Medical Group," including a \$50,000 contribution for the naming of two classrooms, "Edinger Medical Group - Room 1" and "Edinger Medical Group - Room 2" in the new Senior Center in Central Park, and authorize the Mayor, City Clerk, and City Manager to execute any and all documents related to this transaction, and, authorize the receipt and appropriation of \$50,000, to be paid from Edinger Medical Group into Donations Fund 103, business unit 10345409 as approved by the Director of Community Services

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

14. Approved and authorized execution and recordation of a License Agreement with Lan Nguyen for Landscape and Hardscape Improvements in the Public Right-of-Way at 956 11th Street

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute and record the "License Agreement Between the City of Huntington Beach and Lan Nguyen to Provide Maintenance of Landscaping and Hardscaping Improvements in the Public Right-of-Way" along the frontage of the property located at 956 11th Street

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

15. Approved and authorized execution of an Employment Agreement with David A. Segura for the position of Fire Chief

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to approve and authorize the City Manager to execute the "Employment Agreement between the City of Huntington Beach and David A Segura" for the position of Fire Chief

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

16. Approved and authorized execution of an Affordable Housing Agreement with The Olson Company (Inclusionary/Density Bonus) for Beach Walk Development of 17541-17561 Newland Street

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to by authority of the City Council approve and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute "Agreement Declaring Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions for Property (Resale Restrictions)" by and between Olson Urban - Huntington Beach 2, LLC and the City of Huntington Beach and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute all documents And, by authority of the Housing Authority approve and authorize the Executive Officer to execute "Agreement Declaring Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions for Property (Resale Restrictions)" by and between Olson Urban - Huntington Beach 2, LLC and the Huntington Beach Housing Authority

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

17. Adopted Ordinance 4079 amending Chapter 8.21 of the Huntington Beach Municipal Code (HBMC) related to Refuse Management by aligning with the new State of California mandatory commercial organics recycling statute Approved for introduction February 16, 2016, Vote: 5-2 (Sullivan, Peterson - No)

A motion was made by Delgleize, second Hardy to adopt Ordinance No 4079, "An Ordinance of the City of Huntington Beach Amending Chapter 8 21 of the Huntington Beach Municipal Code Relating to Refuse Management "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Katapodis, Hardy, and Delgleize
NOES Sullivan, and Peterson

PUBLIC HEARING

While the City Council voted to hear Public Hearing Item No 19 first before Item No 18, the minutes will reflect action taken in original, agendized order

- 18. Adopted Successor Agency Resolution No. 2016-03 approving the Agency to Vote; adopted City Council Resolution No. 2016-08 Calling An Election; adopted City Council Resolution No. 2016-09 Certifying the Results of An Election; approved for introduction Ordinance No. 4082 authorizing the Levy of a Special Tax within Huntington Beach Community Facilities District (CFD) No. 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort/Hyatt); and, authorized documents be submitted to the Clerk-Recorder for the County of Orange**

Mayor Katapodis stated, "**Now is the time for a duly noticed public hearing regarding change proceedings for Community Facilities District No. 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort). The Council has received a Staff Report on this matter, which is hereby entered into the record of this public hearing. I would first ask staff for any comments on this matter.**"

Deputy Director of Business Development Kellee Fritzal and Brain Forbath of Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth, Bond Counsel to the City, presented a PowerPoint entitled *City of Huntington Beach Community Facilities District 2000-01 Grand Coast Resort* that included the following titled slides *Purpose of Tonight's Actions, Summary of Proceedings, Public Hearing, First Reading of Ordinance 4082, and What Happens Next?*

Council had no questions for staff

Mayor Katapodis asked for a motion on Successor Agency Resolution No 2016-03 authorizing the Executive Director to take certain actions at the election, including casting a ballot

A motion was made by O'Connell, second Delgleize to adopt Successor Agency Resolution No 2016-03, "Resolution of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Huntington Beach, Authorizing the Successor Agency to Vote and Take Certain Other Actions with Respect to the Amendment of the Rate and Method of Apportionment of Special Tax for City of Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort) "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

Mayor Katapodis opened the public hearing, stating at this time any members of the public wishing to speak on this matter may be heard, and asked the City Clerk to announce the names for public comments regarding Public Hearing item

There were no speakers

City Clerk Joan Flynn announced receipt of the PowerPoint communication, just heard, submitted by Kellee Fritzal, Deputy Director of Business Development entitled *Community Facilities District 2000-01 Grand Coast Resort*, and there were no names submitted for public comments

Mayor Katapodis asked if there was any discussion or questions from the members of the Council
There was none

Mayor Katapodis asked if the City Clerk had received written protests to any of the matters addressed in this public hearing from any registered voters or landowners of more than 50% of the acreage located within the Community Facilities District No 2000-1

City Clerk Flynn responded "I have received zero written protests "

Mayor Katapodis stated, "If there are no further comments from the public or the members of the Council, I hereby declare this public hearing closed "

Mayor Katapodis continued, "I will entertain a motion to adopt Resolution No 2016-08 calling an Election in connection with the adoption of an amended and restated rate and method of apportionment of special tax with respect to community Facilities District No 2000-1 and making certain findings and taking certain actions in connections"

A motion was made by Posey, second Sullivan to adopt City Council Resolution No 2016-08, "Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach, Acting as the Legislative Body of Community Facilities District No 2000-1 of The City of Huntington Beach, Calling An Election In Connection with the Adoption of an Amended and Restated Rate and Method of Apportionment of Special Tax and Making Certain Findings and Taking Certain Actions in Relation Thereto "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

Mayor Katapodis stated, "The Resolution that we have just adopted calls an election within CFD No 2000-1 which is to be held at this time by the City Clerk I would ask that the City Clerk now hold the election "

City Clerk Flynn announced, "Honorable Mayor and members of the City Council, the Successor Agency has cast its ballot in favor of the proposition presented at the election and the ballot proposition passes unanimously "

Mayor Katapodis stated, "Having been advised by the City Clerk that the Ballot Proposition has been approved by more than two-thirds of the votes cast in the election, I would entertain a motion to adopt Resolution No 2016-09 certifying the results of the election "

A motion was made by Posey, second Sullivan to Adopt City Council Resolution 2016-09, "Resolution of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach Acting in its Capacity as the Legislative Body of City of Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort) Certifying the Results of the March 7, 2016, Special Tax Election and Adopting an Amended Rate and Method of Apportionment of Special Tax "

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

Mayor Katapodis stated that the Resolution Certifying the Results of the Election had passed, and he asked for the Clerk to conduct a reading of the title of Ordinance No 4082 which would authorize the levy of a special tax in accordance with the special tax formulas approved by the landowner within the District at the election held this evening

City Clerk Flynn read the title of Ordinance No 4082 into the record **"Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach, Acting in its Capacity as The Legislative Body of City of Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No. 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort) Authorizing The Levy of a Special Tax Within Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No. 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort)"**

Mayor Katapodis asked for a motion and a second to introduce Ordinance No 4082, and also directed the City Clerk to send certified copies of the adopted Ordinance and Resolutions to the Clerk Recorder for the County of Orange

A motion was made by Posey, second Delgleize to approve for introduction City Council Ordinance No 4082, "Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Huntington Beach, Acting in its Capacity as The Legislative Body of City of Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort) Authorizing The Levy of a Special Tax Within Huntington Beach Community Facilities District No 2000-1 (Grand Coast Resort)," and, direct the City Clerk to send certified copies of the adopted Ordinance and Resolutions to the Clerk-Recorder for the County of Orange

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES None

19. General Plan Amendment No. 15-001 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element pursuant to State Housing Element law

City Planning Manager Jennifer Villasenor and Melinda Cox, HCD (State Housing and Community Development), provided a PowerPoint dated March 7, 2016, entitled *Huntington Beach Housing Element Authority General Plan Amendment No 15-001*, that included the following titled slides *Request, Background, Planning Commission Action, What is the Housing Element, Regional Housing Needs (RHNA), Huntington Beach 2013-2021 RHNA, 2013-2021 Unit Development Potential, RHNA Status May 2015, Adequate Sites Program, Site Requirements, List of Sites for Potential Rezoning, Table IV-5 Sites for Re-Designation to RH to Accommodate RHNA Shortfall, Sites A-C Stewart &*

Clay, Site D Williams Dr , Site E Pearce & Green, Site F Delaware, Site G Gothard and Garfield, Adequate Sites Program Action, Other Program Changes and Conclusion

Questions/Comments

Councilmember Hardy and Planning Manager Villasenor discussed the size of buildings at the proposed locations, including building height restrictions, and the fact that the Holly Seacliff specific Plan, all Residential Housing (RH) zoning and HSSP allow a maximum of 3 stories unless there is a Density Bonus approval which requires a Conditional Use Permit. Planning Manager Villasenor further clarified the site zones: A-C (Industrial), D (Commercial), E-F (Medium Density Residential), and G (Medium High Residential). When asked if any sites have a project in process, Planning Manager Villasenor replied that the City is processing a request to designate Site F on Delaware for High Density. In addition, there has been inquiry received from the owners of the Pearce and Green site.

When asked by Councilmember Hardy if affordable housing along Beach and Edinger is required, Planning Manager Villasenor responded yes, stipulated at 10-15%. Planning Manager Villasenor confirmed that Site E currently has residential units on it. Discussion continued regarding best sites to rezone (at the zoning stage), and what could happen if RHNA numbers are not met. Melinda Cox of State Housing and Community Development (HCD), stated there would be three consequences of not re-zoning within the timeframe: 1) properties become buy-rite (no discretionary applications allowed), 2) both General Plans could be ruled invalid and open for litigation as the law allows for a judge to take away land use authority, and 3) funding sources that require a certified housing element would become unavailable. If there is a lawsuit a judge could prohibit building permits for the whole City until the housing requirements are satisfied. Discussion continued on meeting the affordable housing requirements and completed projects possibly reducing the number of available units.

Councilmember Posey, Planning Director Villasenor and Ms. Cox of HCD discussed challenging state law regarding RHNA numbers, which can happen only during a specified time in the process, and the time to revise or appeal has lapsed for the current planning period. Jurisdictional RHNA components are determined by looking at household growth, replacement housing need, and vacancy need.

Councilmember O'Connell asked about converting existing housing stock into affordable units. Planning Director Villasenor and Ms. Cox of HCD responded and explained that State law requires that conversions would have to happen within two years of the housing element cycle, which for the current planning period has expired. Also, the City must devote financial resources towards any planned conversions. Discussion continued related to local participation in determining RHNA stock, and whether high density developments reduce the value of next-door existing residential.

Councilmember Peterson and Planning Director Villasenor discussed projected growth and data that determines the RHNA. Southern California Association of Government (SCAG) makes the RHNA determination and the City has no recourse for the current RHNA. Planning Director Villasenor said remedy through SCAG would not be available until 2020.

Councilmember Delgleize and Planning Director Villasenor discussed that the City's Department of Finance provides the local information and HCD provides the RHNA for the entire State that SCAG uses. Each Council of Governments (COG) comes up with a formula. They also discussed amending the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan (BECSPP) MAND, and the analysis of constraint shows that in addition to the MAND, changed parking, and State law requiring the buy-right zoning would have to be addressed.

Councilmember Posey and Planning Director Villasenor discussed avoiding the rezoning of new sites by slightly revising the maximum net development for the BECSP MAND. Planning Director Villasenor showed a slide titled *BECSP Residential MAND* that addressed accommodating the 410 unit lower income shortfall.

Councilmember Hardy, Ms. Cox of HCD and Planning Director Villasenor discussed minimum and maximum amounts of affordable housing which is typically 20 to 60 percent for an affordable housing overlay. Further discussion ensued confirming that the City Council could increase the percentage of affordable units for the BECSP and any identified site, and that process would require two steps: 1) continuing the housing element amendment with staff direction, and 2) a follow-up amendment to the Specific Plan housing element that reflects the program action of an overlay with X percent of minimum level affordable units.

Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan and Ms. Cox of HCD reviewed the consequences should Council not take actions to amend the General Plan: 1) properties become buy-right (no discretionary applications allowed), 2) both General Plans could be ruled invalid and open for litigation as the law allows for a judge to take away land use authority, and 3) funding sources that require a certified housing element would become unavailable. Ms. Cox confirmed that the State would never step in and determine the building standards.

Councilmember Peterson and Planning Director Villasenor discussed parking standards for affordable housing. The CUP requirement is considered a constraint because of State housing element law, as well as the parking standard and the capacity MAND. Those are the three elements that would have to be addressed.

Councilmember Posey asked, and Planning Director Villasenor confirmed, that adjusting the BECSP and including Site F (Delaware) with 36 units of one-hundred percent affordable units could provide the units required.

In response to an inquiry from Councilmember O'Connell, Planning Director Villasenor provided a slide titled 2015 Income Limits which showed there is Very Low/Extremely Low, Low/Moderate and Above Moderate (Market Rate) categories.

Mayor Katapodis opened the public hearing.

Pursuant to the Brown "Open Meetings" Act, City Clerk Joan Flynn announced receipt of 138 communications following distribution of the Council agenda packet, and proceeded to call the names of those signed up to speak.

Michael Hoskinson, Planning Commissioner, announced that he is available to answer any Councilmember questions regarding the Commission's vote on the Housing Element. (03 15 17)

Lyn Semeta, Planning Commissioner, but speaking as a private citizen, expressed appreciation to Councilmembers for their hard questions on the issue. In her opinion it is not as simple as just increasing the MAND by 10%, because then we'd have buy-right development again, which is a real problem. We need to have a discretionary review and be forced back into the parking issue. It was a good policy to make the Beach/Edinger Corridor amendments. Take a break from building, and after the buildings are completed, and people are moved in, evaluate the impact on traffic and infrastructure.

Look at all of the other choices within the City rather than re-visiting the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan (BECSP) (03 15 41)

Cari Swan spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. She is opposed to any potential re-zoning anywhere in Huntington Beach that would result in one more monstrosity of high density development. Leadership (City Council) needs to have a vision for the City. You were elected to protect our property, quality of life, and culture. No one in Huntington Beach wants Huntington Beach to look like Santa Monica or downtown Long Beach. Trying to put high density in Huntington Beach is like shoving a square peg into a round hole. Why are you asking for the complete destruction of a unique, suburban beach community? Any new development in Huntington Beach needs to fit. Fight the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), fight the State of California, the unelected boards and commissions, the ambulance chasing organizations like the Kennedy Commission and tell them it doesn't fit our community. In Los Angeles the community got together and sued the City and won under similar circumstances. (03 18 13)

Rex Lehman spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. In his opinion, the Beach/Edinger Corridor is the proper site for the required housing elements. Using Sites A, B, C, and G will reduce home values because there is no planned buffer zone. Is anyone asking what environmental health impacts could result from building on the current commercial sites? One is an active gas /methane field and two sites are old gas/methane fields. There could be months or years of air filled with dust and dirt, filling our bodies, and our children's bodies, with pollutants and toxins. (03 20 55)

Greg Smith, Project Manager and Gustavo De Moro, with AMCAL Multi-Housing, gave a PowerPoint presentation as developers of the Delaware Street apartment project which had the following titled slides: *Proposal, Site Location, Background, Benefits to the City and AMCAL's Request* (for Council to include the Delaware site as a future housing opportunity site) (03 24 21)

John Gustafson spoke in favor of eliminating at least sites A, B and C, if not all proposed sites, in Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. Building high density housing of any type in an industrial zone doesn't fit the neighborhood, and is adjacent to an existing residential neighborhood that doesn't want high density housing in their back yard. The existing industry makes noise all day long. Putting in high density housing behind industrial use with very little buffer would be like approving housing under an airport flight path. In his opinion, the Beach/Edinger Corridor looks like the place to meet the requirements. In his opinion, working collectively there should be a method to fight the State and SCAG and address the real issue. (03 27 51)

Mercedes French spoke as a realtor and homeowner to share her request that Council review all options and select the best one to keep Huntington Beach the diamond that it is. Speaking as a realtor, this type of zoning change can decrease property values. As a homeowner she has no problem with the potential financial aspect of low income housing. Based on the fact that she was raised by a single, low-income parent, she understands that being poor does not necessarily mean you are uneducated, lazy or a criminal. However, she is also aware that with low income there can be an element that is not the best. Along with high-density housing comes noise pollution and traffic congestion in addition to stretching of city resources. Her family moved to Huntington Beach specifically because the City did not have these issues. Look at all of the options available. Don't make us accountable for something we had no control over. (03 29 50)

Anne Hinkey voiced opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site, and asked for another review of the BECSP. One question the City Council should be asking: Does the plan disrupt the existing neighborhood? Two hundred ninety-eight low-income units on a nearly ten-acre site does not fit in the current neighborhood. The surrounding streets will not safely accommodate the increased traffic. Parking in the area is already a problem. If SCAG requirements must be met, please follow the Planning Commission recommendation to go back and re-amend the BECSP to allow for 2,350 units. There will be huge costs to re-zoning and approving the new sites. The Beach/Edinger Corridor already has larger arteries to handle increased traffic and shopping. Medium and low-income housing can be provided over a much larger area. It is a bad idea to try to put all of the 291 low-income housing units on one ten-acre parcel. (03 33 10)

Sharla Hinkey spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically Site G at Garfield and Gothard, and asked for another review of the BECSP. Her family's home, adjacent to Site G, is zoned medium-high residential which allows more accommodation for parking and larger set-backs from the street. If Site G is approved, it will be changed to the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan (BECSP) zoning. There are already parking issues in the neighborhood by the park. What will happen if you add 298 apartments on the corner? If you approve the site, expecting that no one will actually build there, you are putting all of the area's existing homeowners in limbo. It absolutely will lower property values. If approved, this site will have another monstrosity like what was built by Bella Terra, but this time it will be boxed in by a residential neighborhood. Fight the requirement to build 410 additional units. If you must build them, put them back on Beach Boulevard – away from our residential neighborhoods. Several sites on Beach Boulevard have already been approved and can better handle the additional traffic and parking issues, which the residential neighborhoods cannot. This is about everyone's neighborhood. Follow the Planning Commission lead and deny this General Plan Amendment. (03 35 41)

Jakob Harle, Attorney/Counsel for Seagate, Cape Ann and Greystone Keys Home Owner Associations, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically Site G at Garfield and Gothard. He stated that this is a quality of life issue for residents in the immediate vicinity. Parking problems have already been discussed, but there is a significant by-product if you add nearly 300 homes: this will add probably in excess of 400 – 450 more automobiles. This will create one huge traffic nightmare every morning as they all spew out into the streets. This will impact City services, requiring increased police patrols. Also, typically for high density housing trash is dealt with through dumpsters rather than individual trash cans, which provides forage for vermin like rats and coyotes which will spill over to the surrounding residences. There is also a fairness issue. All sites in the Staff report show projects ranging from 25 – 35 units per site, except for Site G with 289 units. The proposed Amended Plan would impose all of the externalities on this one area. It would be fairer to disburse the externalities throughout the City, rather than concentrated in this specific area. (03 38 50)

Bill Nelson, who lives in The Tides, voiced opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically sites A, B and C, and asked for another review of the BECSP. There must be more suitable sites. Sites A, B and C are contaminated from their historical use, i.e., oil wells, etc. The cost to mitigate the contamination to render the sites suitable for building will be quite high, and costs either directly or indirectly will be passed on to the taxpayers. Low cost housing could become quite expensive for those paying the bill. Additionally, imagine the dust and carcinogens in the air created by the remediation process, let alone run-off during rains, which will contaminate the current community. Developing high density housing at Sites A, B, and C is highly

incompatible with the neighborhood. Current home values will most likely suffer if this development is allowed. Vote Option B for Agenda Item 19 tonight. There have to be more places than what was presented tonight to build on. (03 41 51)

Jim Bird, resident of The Tides, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. He feels strongly that if high density low income units are built on the Stewart property, it will definitely impact the value of his property. It would also impact his quality of life. (03 44 18)

Clare Dellemann, currently living next to Site F, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the inconsistent development of Site F. The original plan was that the lot next door would be a mirror image of what was built at 18900. This neighborhood was planned as a senior area with doctors across the street and everything within walking distance. Please ensure that when this site is developed it remains as designated – for seniors – with plenty of parking. There are already rental units on the other side with their barking dogs, screaming parents, crying babies, arguing couples and the loud music. Please don't put the same thing on the other side. Keep this parcel for seniors and preserve our peace and quiet. (03 45 30)

Donna Horn, resident of the Seagate community, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site, and asked that you review all available options. There is a need to solve problems, but this proposal is not the answer. Bel Air is 101 units on about the same size parcel as the Gothard/Garfield lot, which is proposed to have three times that of Bel Air. It will be a real problem. Please do the right thing. Rescind the zoning amendment, be the ones to protect our rights to decide how and where our City builds its future – not an outside organization. (03 47 54)

Hanh Truong spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. He came to the United States as a young refugee. He has worked hard to get what he has for his family because he had freedom to do so. He feels indignant when told what to do and by miscommunication of data. The people of Huntington Beach voted for the Councilmembers because of their faith in you, and it is now time for you to have faith in the residents who will be behind you regardless of the consequences that Sacramento is telling us. Look at the example of San Francisco and Marin County when it was time to build the Golden Gate Bridge. There wasn't funding, so all the residents put a lien on their home to secure the funding rather than ask Sacramento for the funds. The people of Huntington Beach will do the same for you. (03 49 51)

Fern Guess, who lives next to the F Site, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the F site, and asked for another review of the BECSP. On the south side is a nursing home with senior condos on the north side. This is not a good location for high density low income housing. Seniors have slower reaction times and increased traffic with younger drivers in a hurry will cause more accidents. There is not enough street parking now, and there is concern about increased crime and lower property values in the neighborhood. This is not the right place for this type of housing. Please vote Option B and remove all of these sites off the plan. (03 53 01)

Nancy Duremdes spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. It appears now that rather than stand with the people of Huntington Beach to oppose any and all State intervention on the future planning of our City, the Planning Commission and City Staff came back with a plan to destroy our City piece by piece with high density zoning in seven areas.

of Huntington Beach. This is not acceptable to this community. High density does not fit the landscape or culture of Huntington Beach. We are a premier suburban beach community – a rare gem along coastal California. If we blow this, future generations will ask, “Who allowed this to happen?” It is up to you, the people elected to serve us, the community, to roll up your sleeves and take a stand against SCAG and the lobbyists who are pushing a destructive agenda. It’s very simple – no more high density anywhere in Huntington Beach. We are counting on you to do the right thing for the community. (03 55 17)

Carolyn Lewis, a resident of Seagate, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. She moved from Redondo Beach because of high density issues which ruined the schools and the neighborhood. She was shocked to see high density approved for Edinger. This is a quota, and as so eloquently stated by a previous speaker, freedom is removed when something is shoved down your throat. (03 57 07)

Patricia Goodman, a resident of Seagate, spoke in support of Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, with caveats. Affordable housing is needed and we need to engage in the issues and come up with solutions. Affordable housing is needed for seniors, vets, the disabled and those working for minimum wages. The California Planning Roundtable, California Department of Housing and Community Development has a brochure that addresses some of the myths about affordable housing, one being that affordable housing reduces property values. Apparently there are no studies to support this claim. Our comments need to be supported by facts. Maybe some of the City areas being pointed at are not affordable housing areas but owned by slum lords or people that aren’t taking care of their property. (03 59 26)

Mark Tonkovich, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. All of the high density is wrong. Just take a look at Bella Terra. I’ve stopped going over there because parking is such a pain. Now I spend my money at Westminster Mall. I’ve lived in Chicago and New York and can tell you that high density will affect property values. Where do your statistics come from? Who is checking where SCAG’s numbers are coming from? Sounds like they are all wrong and if they are, why do we have to accept what they are telling us? We need to do away with this. We need to fight it. (04 02 30)

Matt Haynes, resident and HOA President for Greystone Keys, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site. He purchased his home knowing that this area was zoned for medium density. His home is his primary asset and he is appalled at the thought the City is considering re-zoning for a high density 300 unit apartment complex. It will have a negative impact on property values and the neighborhood’s privacy, safety, cleanliness, parking, noise level and general way of living. We are in this position because of poor planning on the part of the City Council and I refuse to sacrifice my investment and hard work in this neighborhood as a result. Don’t destroy our neighborhood with high density housing in our back yard. Seagate is not Bella Terra. The Seagate community is quiet, safe, clean and peaceful. We want to keep it that way. Those voting in favor of this item will be remembered at election time. (04 04 14)

Eric Gurley, first-time homeowner in The Tides and employee of a local business close to the area, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Stewart/Clay site, and asked that there be a review of all available options. The Tides is a safe, quiet, gated neighborhood where he hopes to raise his family. It would devastate his family and their property value if high density low income housing is built at Stewart and Clay. It would directly

affect his work life and home life. There is currently a parking issue at this location, as well as a homeless population living in their vehicles. There have been problems with automotive break-ins and theft. Please re-think and re-visit other options. As others have stated, I also refuse to go to Bella Terra. If I wanted to live in a neighborhood like that I would have bought a condo in that area. I want to raise a family in a nice quiet neighborhood. I want to work in an industrial shop down the street. We are here to help and back you when you work for us. (04 05 39)

Thomas Blanchard spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. He is totally against five of the sites as he rides his bike for local shopping and this plan will ruin his quality of life. As an employee working in Long Beach he saw first-hand how Section 8 low income housing becomes diseased and destroyed. If approved this proposal could become a costly mistake that could turn around and bite Huntington Beach. My senior years will be affected. My serenity and peace will also be affected. I refuse to watch my neighborhood become diseased and decayed. This stinks almost as much as Rainbow Disposal. Our new City logo will become "Welcome to Huntington Beach – An Affordable Place to Live" (04 08 08)

Brock Ebmeyer, a resident of The Tides, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Stewart Lane site. The most appealing aspect of Huntington Beach is the local culture which has been fostered by a sense of community. The City's website says, "A charming community with ideal weather." My contention is that many of the charming aspects of Huntington Beach are being compromised in your effort to comply with the State's affordable housing mandate. The proposed sites on Stewart are inconsistent with the City's current zoning and should be removed from consideration. They just don't fit. I'm disappointed that today's meeting is even necessary as it demonstrates a lack of vision. It is an ad hoc approach to long-term problems our City is faced with. Zoning is a serious and relevant topic for all residents, and should not be shoe-horned into open space because the plan for Bella Terra changed mid-construction. Please note that the proposed sites on Stewart are a little less than half of the open space on that block. Can you guarantee it will stop with the currently proposed lots? What's to stop them from finishing that development with another 500 units? Our State is facing a scarcity of water and electricity. What additional stresses will this put on those resources? Your calls to reduce our water and energy resources ring hollow when followed by a proposal to build another 450 housing units. Do not build on that land – at least not that project. Develop a long-term vision of the City for the residents of Huntington Beach. We all deserve clarity and leadership. Also, visit the miscellaneous specific plan designation zones within Huntington Beach. Nearly all of Seacliff, most of Beach Boulevard and Bella Terra have the same zoning classification – Miscellaneous Specific Plan. There is very little consistency between Seacliff, Beach Boulevard and Bella Terra, yet they all have the same zoning classification. Why is that land still designated Miscellaneous? Seacliff has been built out for years. Seacliff is obviously low density residential. Please keep it that way. (04 10 41)

Jason Cianciarulo, a resident of The Tides, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, and asked for another review of BECSP. He supports the sentiments already expressed by his fellow residents, and is here to speak also for his children. His family borrowed heavily to move into The Tides as they did not inherit their property. They love their quiet neighborhood with a preschool down the street, and an elementary school across the street on the other side. This is a place they really like and where they plan to raise their family. He understands the position Council is in. However, given all the circumstances, if Beach/Edinger is not working out, other parts of the community should not be made to suffer by being made more congested. Seacliff should not have to pay – whether it was a bad decision or whether things at Beach/Edinger didn't work.

out He stated he would be there behind Council to fight the State An area that is already congested should be kept congested rather than make more of Huntington Beach congested (04 13 50)

Christopher Buckstein, a resident of Crystallaire, near The Tides, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site He lives about one-eighth mile from Site G, and Sites A, B, and C are just a skip further His home is his biggest asset and as a board member of the community association he agrees that it would be unacceptable to build high density low income housing within a single family residential area Please respect the expectations of the residents (04 16 42)

Paul Scotton spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site, and asked for another review of BECSP He hopes the City Council will stand with the residents speaking out as they will stand behind the Council He believes that part of what we are facing is self-inflicted wounds because of what the original proposal was He also believes that things went terribly awry because of Commission preference, not because of any mandate of the law Six of the seven parcels identified are in 92648 All seven remaining parcels are within three-quarters of a mile of Gothard and Ellis Where is the rest of Huntington Beach? Is this not a Huntington Beach problem? When did it become a 92648 problem? He stated he learned it was the Commission's preference Now they hear from the representative from the State that the city is stuck with this – perhaps He stated the procedural errors are so glaring that there are ways to get out Unfortunately, he believed it would require suing the City He didn't want to go that route, and didn't think anyone here wants to go that route He stated the best way to get out of this is to go back to the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan if for no other reason than to buy ourselves time He's particularly opposed to the Gothard/Garfield corner It is not 10 acres, it is 8 acres, which means a density of 37 units/acre, not 30 This is totally unacceptable He lives in Bel Air, just a stone's throw from where this is going to happen His Proposition 13 tax base is over one million dollars He knows exactly where this will go He suggests you look up the history of Cabrini-Green in Chicago, which was presented as the answer there for low income housing It turned out to be a ghetto on stilts which has since been torn down The issue isn't low income, but putting in low income into high density – a recipe for disaster (04 17 39)

Jeff Hubbard, a Seagate board member since its inception, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element It has always been expected that the 8 or 10 acres would provide for single family homes after environmental remediation Seagate is the part of the Seacliff neighborhood that wraps around this site, except for the small area of condominiums at Main and Promenade Everything else is single family Cape Ann has a medium affordable housing area within the Seagate community He stated his community vehemently opposes the low income high density project The Seagate and Huntington Seacliff master plan should remain in place Council's thoughts of re-zoning will tap the resources It will not benefit the Huntington Beach residents and it is certainly a quality of life issue Who is going to pay for all of the infrastructure? Show him the paper that says this has been thought through and is using sound logic What about traffic? Who is going to repair the roads? What about the schools? They are already impacted Smith, Seacliff, Dwyer, Huntington Beach High Where are the kids from another 300 units going to go to school? What about sanitation? Medical? Decent fire protection? Who is paying for all of the additional expenses? Is it going to be the Huntington Beach residents? It is one thing to put 30 houses here, and 30 houses there But 300 houses by our single-family community? That is unimaginable The right and fair thing to do is to listen and not carry out the plan We don't appreciate the subterfuge to the citizens as Council meets its' obligations to the State laws Vote "No" on this (04 20 55)

Joe Gaglione, before sharing his personal comments and speaking in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, he read a letter sent today to Mayor Katapodis from the Superintendent of the Ocean View School District regarding potential over-crowding of schools that would be impacted by increased housing units in the Beach Edinger Corridor Specific Plan. He further stated that Ocean View School District is prepared to use legal means to protect the interests of the students and parents. He states he sees a glimmer of hope based on the questions the Council asked tonight. It appears to him there is a real conflict when the State presents the plan and then tells existing residents there is no impact to home values. Also, hearing what he considers very deceptive comments about the conflict if Council chooses to fight some of these mandates. He spoke to one Council member prior to his election, and asked him about residents' concerns about high density. That gentleman told him, "Well, most of this stuff was decided years ago and our hands are tied now." Now that he's learned more about it, He sees that it hasn't all been decided, and the city still has time. (04 24 55)

David Graham, resident of The Tides, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. He agreed with a majority of the other speakers and asked the Council to consider that high density is not a good thing for our community anywhere in Huntington Beach. (04 28 34)

Michael DiGiovanni spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the Gothard/Garfield site. He stated that most of his issues have already been addressed by previous speakers, and he commented about the contamination at the Garfield and Gothard site. The State says it can be remediated, but what if they made a mistake? Once we start digging into that contaminated soil, and the dust and particles get down to the ocean and infiltrate where we and our children live, it just might not be such a good idea to start digging in that area. He urged Council to fight the State on the whole thing. (04 29 02)

Michael Kubas, property manager for Seagate, Cape Ann, Greystone and Sherwood communities, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the G Site. Two hundred ninety-eight of the 410 proposed units are in Site G which directly affects Seagate. This is an unbelievably large percentage. Within three-quarters of a mile of Ellis and Gothard all of the units are represented. Seagate is about to spend \$150,000 to improve the esthetics of the corner at Gothard and Ellis. Then, to just be saddled with low income housing? This is very counter-productive. Seagate already has 270, or 33 percent of the units, designated as medium affordable. The market would easily support strictly single family units. Cape Ann and Bel Air are the two communities closest to this area. Seagate Drive goes between these two communities. There is already a serious parking problem on Seagate Drive. By 6 p.m. every night Seagate Drive is full – there is no more room for parking. Inside the communities the parking is full. If the City brings in 300 units at double and triple the current density, it will be crazy. Parking will have to flow out to Gothard and Yorktown. People talk about digging up the soil. The prevailing wind blows that soil right into Cape Ann, into Promenade, into Greystone and into Bel Air. There are numerous families in Cape Ann that are suffering major health problems already. Seagate has already done their part to meet other state requirements. They have spent over \$100,000 in removing turf to help with the drought. They helped with moderate housing already. They are spending millions of dollars to make Seagate better. (04 30 07)

Bridget Sullivan, a resident whose home is a stone's throw away from Site G, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the G site. She asked if Council has seen the movie, "Up?" It makes it very clear what happens when high profile

buildings comes into a community and where will it end? She chose the location of her home because the understanding was all of the homes there would be single family homes. Where she lives, they have already complied with the City's requirements, regardless if they were State requirements, for moderate or medium income. She has nothing against low income properties getting their due in the City. The point is where they are placed and how they are put there to facilitate a community that is more specific to single family homes. Where will this end? What is to say the City puts in a huge complex there and when the industrial parcel next to it becomes available will another place be put there? The Council has to look at the infrastructure it already has and how it will be sustained in the future. Her hope is that Council keeps single family communities and build out where we can to accommodate the requirements the State loves to impose on us. She asked, "Do we have rights? Does the State care about our City? No, I don't believe so." (04 34 33)

Brenda Welch spoke to voice her opinion that the City's low-income housing needs should be met through the current high-density projects being built in the Beach and Edinger Corridor, stating this meeting has been very educational and she agreed with most everyone else here. She thanked the Council for shelving the request to use the Armstrong Nursery land by Central Park for density housing, saying, it is sad to see such rapid progress and expansion in population, buildings and traffic. She didn't understand why low cost housing has not been included in all of the new high density housing projects already being built in the City, i.e., the large complexes at Edinger and Gothard, asking about the Elan project on Beach. Why would low-income people be excluded from these areas? The City is creating homelessness with the high rent so we have to have some low rent for some of these people. Where are we going to house the homeless that are now living in the park? (04 36 59)

Rita Barry asked the City Council to take the time to thoroughly analyze all options before deciding how to best meet the low-income housing requirements. She stated other speakers tonight have already addressed her concerns, however, she supplied an article from the *Orange County Register* entitled, "How Birth Tourism Bolsters the Orange County Economy." It doesn't deal with zoning or high density, but it is a great article on how decisions made today can impact or devastate in the future. Huntington Beach already has a lot of condos and apartments. Can't the City use some of these with lower rents to meet the requirement? She asked if the low income housing requirement today due to the fact that Huntington Beach has taken HUD money? Usually when you take their money you have to do what they say. She asked Council to please take the time to thoroughly investigate all avenues so they come up with wise decisions today so our City doesn't have to pay the piper tomorrow. (04 40 22)

Suzette Clark, a resident of Cape Ann living about 15 yards from the Gothard/Garfield site, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the G Site. She spoke to the contamination issue. The State has said that Site G is contaminated, yet the City is thinking of building on a contaminated site? Could this lead to another Erin Brockovich situation? This is something the City needs to look into and is more paramount than anything else on the table. Secondly, she said Council has heard from several realtors talking about property values. She stated from her experience that when the house next door foreclosed, she had her home appraised and the value went down by about \$100,000. Cape Ann, Crystallaire, Bel Air, Greystone Keys and Sherwood are all single family communities with lots of children who dart across the street from the park. She's very concerned that if the City opens up Seagate to the traffic from 300 new homes – there will be safety issues the City will encounter. She bought in to moderate housing, but putting in low income housing certainly isn't going to restore the 30 years of investment she's put into her home. Please explore all options and protect our bedroom community and beautiful City of Huntington Beach so we don't turn into another mini Los Angeles. (04 42 42)

Carolyn Osborne spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element. Perhaps one of the reasons the City is in this position is bigger than the State, and even bigger than the Nation. She stated if we understand why we are in this position we can start pushing back. Learning more about Agenda 21, signed by the United Nations in the 90's in Rio. She opined many of us are done with non-elected governmental agencies deciding how we are going to run our cities, our lives and our way of life. She said she would fully support not doing what the State and SCAG want us to do – whatever the consequences. If the city loses the park grant, she'll pick up her trash, and the trash of others, so the City can have the freedom to decide what our City is going to look like. She fully supports whatever the Council decided to do to fight this. (04 45 45)

Marlon Steiner, a resident whose back yard is the Garfield/Gothard site, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the G site, stating her profession is in architecture, specifically for affordable, multi-family housing projects. The current zoning for this site is RM-15, an allowable density of 15 units per acre, not 25 units per acre. She would like to know what the 25 units per acre is about. That is going from about 300 units down to about 149 units if it is a 9.9 acre site. On projects she has worked on she has seen more crime in certain areas. She opposed putting this type of project on this site. She recommends a lower density project for this site. She understands urbanism and urban revitalization projects. This area is not meant for an urban setting. This is a suburban setting. She opined, the Edinger Specific Plan is more of an urban setting and that is where high density housing should go. (04 47 37)

Hannah Aframian spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the G site. She has lived on Wilshire Boulevard near Westwood where the traffic was too much. She moved to Huntington Beach to raise her kids in a friendly and safe environment. Maybe Council can do rent control with the new properties on Edinger, but please don't make Huntington Beach like Wilshire Boulevard. (04 50 14)

Robert Johnson spoke against the City's affordable housing program. He lives in an affordable housing unit and suggests a solution to the problem – just end moderate income housing in Huntington Beach. This program doesn't make sense. There are not enough houses for the low and very low income people. (04 53 22)

Steve Knoll, a resident of 92648 lives just a few steps from Site F, spoke in opposition to Agenda Item 19 to amend the 2013-2021 General Plan Housing Element, specifically the F site, stating they already experience extreme parking issues. He asked Council to please vote "No" on all of the sites. (04 56 32)

Jerry Barry spoke to ask the City Council to represent the needs of the residents – not the needs of the outsiders, the non-elected number crunchers. Do not give any more of Huntington Beach to the "here today, gone tomorrow" high density investors. He stated residents want to keep our bedroom community as it is and need Council to validate the mandates, take the time to thoroughly analyze all options, and consider the long-term future effects of the decisions made today. He further stated his belief that the City's low-income housing needs should be met through the current high-density projects being built in the Beach and Edinger Corridor. When Cities build an area specifically for only low income housing it becomes a slum, but low income units mixed into the already approved projects will maintain the standards and the City won't have to have the police do it. (04 59 50)

There being no more public speakers, Mayor Katapodis closed the public hearing. (05 02 49)

A motion was made by O'Connell, second Peterson to deny General Plan Amendment No 15-001 (Planning Commission Recommendation)

Councilmember Peterson expressed his opinion in support of asking City Attorney Gates to challenge the SCAG numbers mandated by the State (05 05 08)

A substitute motion was made by Delgleize, second Posey to deny General Plan Amendment No 15-001 (Planning Commission Recommendation), and, instruct staff to go back and include in the BECSP an increased MAND to meet the RHNA shortfall

The substitute motion was withdrawn after discussion

Councilmember Hardy spoke to recommend that the issues of whether to approve or deny the General Plan Amendment and how staff should be directed be two separate motions, rather than combined, and therefore she will not be supporting the substitute motion

Councilmember Posey expressed his position to deny the General Plan Amendment

Councilmember O'Connell asked for confirmation if he should recuse himself from voting on the substitute motion because it references the BECSP, and City Attorney Gates confirmed that he should (05 10 23)

Councilmember Delgleize withdrew the substitute motion and Councilmember Posey agreed (05 21 01)

A motion was made by O'Connell, second Peterson to deny General Plan Amendment No 15-001 (Planning Commission Recommendation)

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

ORDINANCES FOR INTRODUCTION

20. **Approved for introduction Ordinance No. 4083 amending the existing Municipal Code Section 10.84.120, Impounding Parked Bicycles, which would authorize the Police Department and Community Services to impound bicycles that have been abandoned in any public place, after a 72 hour posted warning was issued**

Chief Handy clarified the request to amend section 10 84 120 relating to abandoned bicycles by including the whole City

A motion was made by O'Connell, second Delgleize to, after the City Clerk reads by Title, approve for introduction Ordinance No 4083, "An Ordinance of the City of Huntington Beach Amending Section 10 84 120 of the Huntington Beach Municipal Code Relating to Impounding Parked Bicycles," which would authorize the Police Department and Community Services to impound bicycles that have been abandoned in any public place, after a 72 hour posted warning was issued

The motion carried by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, Delgleize, and Peterson
NOES	None

COUNCILMEMBER ITEMS

21. Submitted by Councilmember Posey – Failed to direct the City Attorney to return with an Ordinance to increase the campaign contribution amount to \$1,200 adjusted biennially to reflect the Consumer Price Index

A PowerPoint entitled *Campaign Contribution Limits* was provided that included the following titled slides *History, A matrix of OC Cities Contribution Limits, and Data*

Councilmember Hardy expressed her opinion that one of the unique benefits of the City of Huntington Beach is that you don't have to have rich friends to help support your campaign, and the lower fee limit provides a more level playing field, and she will vote against this Item as she has done in the past

Councilmember O'Connell shared his opinion that each candidate should be able to raise as much as they can, and many other Orange County cities have higher or no limits, therefore he is open to seeing the limit set at \$1,500 or even \$2,500

Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan expressed his opposition to this Item because increased amounts make it more difficult for the candidate who is not connected to money to effectively campaign

Councilmember Peterson shared his opinion that this limitation will not have any effect on any PAC contributions, and increasing the limit won't necessarily provide any benefit to a broader audience for any potential candidate

Councilmember Posey shared that he is interested in trying to stay one step ahead of State mandates that may be coming which could prevent any future changes, specifically a brand new bill, AB2523 introduced by Assemblyman Mullin, which seeks to allow the State legislature to impose campaign contribution limits in local elections. The facts are that many Orange County cities currently allow unlimited campaign contributions, the City of Huntington Beach is sitting at the "bottom of the barrel" with 75% of O C city contribution limits set above the City of Huntington Beach limits, and the reality that the costs of running a campaign are increasing

Councilmember Delgleize expressed that, as an individual who has run three times for City Council, she will be supporting increased campaign contribution limits

Mayor Katapodis shared that he does not see a need for this increase at this time, he agrees that he does not want to see the State set parameters, but doesn't feel that is eminent, and in his opinion the system is currently working, therefore he will not support this Item

A motion was made by Posey, second O'Connell to align the City of Huntington Beach with other Charter Cities in Orange County by directing the City Attorney to bring forward an Ordinance amending Chapter 2 07 of the Municipal Code Relating to Campaign Reform to increase the campaign

contribution amount to \$1,200 adjusted biennially to reflect the Consumer Price Index This will be implemented after City Council approval and upon the effective date of the ordinance

The motion failed by the following vote

AYES	Posey, O'Connell, and Delgleize
NOES	Sullivan, Katapodis, Hardy, and Peterson

COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS (Not Agendized)

Councilmember Delgleize thanked staff for their support at the recent Air Quality Management District (AQMD) hearings She also reported taking advantage of the opportunity to review the new Senior Center and thanked Hoag Memorial Hospital for their contribution

Councilmember Hardy thanked the Chamber of Commerce for recognizing her service as Mayor at their Annual Gala She also reported attending the ribbon cutting ceremony for the new public park at Fairwind (former Lamb Park) She encouraged people to get involved in Huntington Beach Reads One Book and all the details can be found at hreads.org She invited participation in the upcoming Employment Readiness Workshop she is providing for high school students She is looking for local employers who might be interested in hiring high school students for a summer job and individuals to participate in mock interviews Contact her at jill.hardy@surfcity-hb.org if interested

Councilmember Posey reported attending the UCBC Annual Dinner and Installation of the Board of Directors, the Huntington Beach Chamber of Commerce Annual Gala, the Association of California Cities - Orange County (ACC-OC) Leadership Behavior seminar, Fred's Mexican Café Locals Only Party, and the One Voice Two Capitols event in Sacramento

Mayor Pro Tem Sullivan reported meeting with leadership of the Huntington Beach Police Officers Association (HBPOA), and reminded everyone that Saturday is the City's annual 5K Run/Walk in support of the 3rd Battalion First Marines from Camp Pendleton

Mayor Katapodis reported attending the Chamber's Annual Gala, the ribbon cutting at Fairwind/Lamb Park and at That's Amore, an Italian restaurant at Peter's Landing, spoke at the Huntington Beach Fire Department Awards Ceremony, an Eagle Scout Court of Honor for Nick Feroo, Mason Giloso, and Chamber Olmes, the Hoag Tour of the new Senior Center, spoke at the Huntington Beach Police Awards Ceremony, spoke at the Huntington Beach Elks Gold Star Mothers' and Fathers' event, read Dr. Seuss books to 4 or 5 classes at Oak View Elementary School to commemorate Dr. Seuss' birthday, and attended a Huntington Beach Reads event at Barnes and Noble where Police Chief Handy was on the panel discussing human trafficking

ADJOURNMENT – Adjourned at 11:16 PM, in memory of Nancy Reagan, to the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Huntington Beach City Council/Public Financing Authority on Monday, March 21, 2016, at 4:00 PM in the Civic Center Council Chambers, 2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, California



City Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the City Council/
Successor Agency of the City of Huntington Beach,
Secretary of the Huntington Beach Public Financing
Authority and Housing Authority of the City of
Huntington Beach, California

ATTEST:



City Clerk-Secretary

